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Learning in practice

What is the evidence that postgraduate teaching in evidence based medicine changes anything? A systematic review

Arri Coomarasamy, Khalid S Khan

Abstract

Objective To evaluate the effects of standalone versus clinically integrated teaching in evidence based medicine on various outcomes in postgraduates.

Design Systematic review of randomised and non-randomised controlled trials and before and after comparison studies.

Data sources Medline, Embase, ERIC, Cochrane Library, DARE, HTA database, Best Evidence, BEME, and SCI.

Study selection 23 studies: four randomised trials, seven non-randomised controlled studies, and 12 before and after comparison studies. 18 studies (including two randomised trials) evaluated a standalone teaching method, and five studies (including two randomised trials) evaluated a clinically integrated teaching method.

Main outcome measures Knowledge, critical appraisal skills, attitudes, and behaviour.

Results Standalone teaching improved knowledge but not skills, attitudes, or behaviour. Clinically integrated teaching improved knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviour.

Conclusion Teaching of evidence based medicine should be moved from classrooms to clinical practice to achieve improvements in substantial outcomes.

Introduction

The knowledge and skills needed for critical appraisal of literature and practice of evidence based medicine (EBM) are often taught through standalone courses and workshops in classrooms away from clinical practice. An early (and now out of date) review showed that in these educational interventions, gains in knowledge were poorer among postgraduates than undergraduates. 1 Without reinforcement in subsequent practice, even the modest knowledge gains from such courses are likely to deteriorate over time. Postgraduate and continuing education received in this way is unlikely to lead to any meaningful changes in clinical care. In theory, teaching and learning that is integrated into routine practice should bring greater benefits.

We examined the effects of postgraduate teaching in EBM and explored the effect of the teaching methods (whether standalone or integrated into clinical practice) on various outcomes.

Methods

We searched Medline, Embase, ERIC, Cochrane controlled trials register (CCTR), Cochrane database of systematic reviews (CDSR), database of abstracts of reviews of effects (DARE), Health Technology Assessment database (HTA), Best Evidence, Best Evidence Medical Education (BEME), and Science Citation Index (SCI) using the following search terms and their word variants: “evidence”, “critical”, “appraisal” or “journal club” combined with “AND” to “teach$”, “learn$”, “instruct$”, or “education”. We also searched reference lists of known systematic reviews. 1 The final electronic search was conducted in April 2004.

We included studies that evaluated the effects of postgraduate EBM or critical appraisal teaching compared with a control group or baseline before teaching, using a measure of participants’ learning achievements or patients’ health gains as outcomes. Learning achievement was assessed separately for knowledge, critical appraisal skills, attitudes, and behaviour.

Knowledge relates to issues such as remembering materials as well as grasping the meaning—for example, defining and understanding the meaning of number needed to treat (NNT). If this knowledge can then be applied accurately to given problems this will be regarded as a gain in critical appraisal skills—for example, the ability to generate a number needed to treat when baseline risks and odds ratios are provided. Spontaneously acknowledging a need for the use of a certain piece of knowledge or skill in practice will be regarded as a change in attitude—for example, recognising without prompting the need for different NNTs for different clinical scenarios and intending to calculate the respective NNTs for different levels of risk. Finally, a change in behaviour occurs when one seeks the necessary information and applies the knowledge and skills to solve the issue in practice—for example, searching the literature, finding relevant baseline risks and odds ratios, and calculating necessary NNTs to guide clinical practice.

We excluded studies on teaching of EBM in undergraduate education. We graded the quality of the evidence in these articles as either level 1 (randomised controlled trials) or level 2 (non-randomised studies that either had a comparison with a control group or a before and after comparison without a control group). We could not use meta-analysis because of the obvious heterogeneity in features, quality, and assessment tools in individual studies. We weighted our conclusions by quality of methods.

Results

The literature search identified 42 potentially useful citations. We examined the full manuscripts of all of these citations and identified 23 articles (including one yet to be published) relevant for inclusion in our review (tables 1 and 2). 3-20 Of the 19 articles that we rejected, 15 examined populations unsuitable for our review (for example, undergraduates or non-medical staff), two examined an unsuitable intervention (for example, the effect of
studies, evaluated a standalone teaching method (table 1), while five studies (R Taylor, personal communication), clubs alone or in various combinations (tables 1 and 2). Eighteen teaching methods included workshops, seminars, and journal controlled studies and 12 before and after comparison studies. None of the studies assessed patients' health.
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Primary studies of standalone teaching of critical appraisal skills and EBM in postgraduate trainees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Randomised controlled studies (level 1)</th>
<th>Teaching methods</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linzer (1988)†</td>
<td>Journal club using small-group discussion</td>
<td>Knowledge: MCQ</td>
<td>Knowledge: improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Behaviour: self assessment (reading habits)</td>
<td>Behaviour: no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Taylor, personal communication*</td>
<td>Half day critical appraisal skills workshop based on problem based small group model</td>
<td>Knowledge: MCQ</td>
<td>Knowledge: improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skills: objective assessment</td>
<td>Skills: no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attitude: self assessment</td>
<td>Attitude: no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Behaviour: self assessment</td>
<td>Behaviour: no change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-randomised studies (level 2)

Controlled studies:

Gehlbach (1980)‡ | Eight hour seminar | Knowledge: MCQ | Knowledge: improved |
| Kitchenis (1999) | Critical reading seminars and small group discussion | Knowledge: MCQ | Knowledge: improved |
| Green (1997)‡ | Tutorials and one-on-one teaching | Knowledge: free text responses to questions | Knowledge: improved |
| | | Behaviour: self assessment | Behaviour: reading quality improved |
| Fu (1999) | Journal club using EBM approach: 1.5 hours/week for 12 weeks | Knowledge: MCQ | Knowledge: no change |
| | | Skills: MCQ | Skills: no change |
| | | Behavior: self assessment | Behavior: no change |
| Bazarian (1999)‡ | Journal clubs using EBM approach | Knowledge: scores | Knowledge: no change |
| | | Skills: self assessment | Skills: no change |
| | | Behaviour: self assessment | Behaviour: no change |
| Smith (2000)‡ | EBM course: 2 hours/week for seven weeks | Attitude: self assessment | Attitude: no change |
| | | Skills: written tests and self assessment | Skills: improved |
| | | Behaviour: self assessment | Behaviour: improved |
| Ross (2003)‡ | 10 workshops lasting 1-2 hours. Each workshop consisted of 30-40 minutes lecture followed by interactive session | Knowledge: MCQ | Knowledge: improved |
| | | Behaviour: analysis of recorded resident-preceptor interactions (use of EBM constructs) | Behaviour: improved |

Before-after comparison studies (without controls):

Mulvihill (1981)‡ | Seminars | Knowledge: not reported | Knowledge: improved |
| Seelig (1991)‡ | Journal club based on adult learning theory | Knowledge: not reported | Knowledge: improved |
| | | Behaviour: self assessment (reading habits) | Behaviour: no change in number of articles read but reading quality improved |
| Langkamp (1992)‡ | Didactic sessions followed by journal club | Knowledge: MCQ | Knowledge: no change |
| Seelig (1993)‡ | One hour seminar based on principles of adult education | Knowledge: self assessment | Knowledge: no change |
| | | Skills: self assessment | Skills: no change |
| | | Behaviour: self assessment | Behaviour: no change |
| Caudill (1993)‡ | Seminars followed by journal clubs | Knowledge: scores | Knowledge: no change |
| | | Skills: self assessment | Skills: no change |
| | | Attitudes: self assessment | Attitudes: no change |
| Ibotson (1998)‡ | Workshops | Knowledge: self assessment | Knowledge: improved |
| Kellum (2000)‡ | Three didactic sessions on critical appraisal techniques, followed by journal club presentations | Skills: objective assessment through questionnaires, and self assessment of "confidence in the ability to critically evaluate research literature" | Skills: improvement found in both objective assessment and self assessment |
| Schoenfeld (2000)‡ | Three day seminar on EBM and critical appraisal | Knowledge: self assessment | Knowledge: improved |

MCO=multiple choice questions.

*A randomised controlled trial of critical appraisal skill training for healthcare professionals (cited with permission).

Does knowledge improve?

Of the 23 studies, 17 assessed knowledge (fig 1). The weight of
evidence, including the evidence from the three randomised tri-
als (R Taylor, personal communication)† that reported on this
come, indicated an improvement in knowledge from both
teaching methods.

Do critical appraisal skills improve?

Nine of the studies assessed critical appraisal skills (fig 1). The
only randomised trial that reported this outcome in the
standalone group did not find an improvement (R Taylor,
personal communication). Of the six non-randomised studies
that reported this outcome in the standalone group, three found
an improvement. On the other hand, both the studies, including
a randomised trial, 22 which reported skills as an outcome in the
integrated teaching group found an improvement. Therefore, on
balance, there is weak evidence that standalone courses improve
appraisal skills and good evidence, including evidence from a
randomised trial, 22 that the integrated approach leads to gains in
appraisal skills.

dissemination of EBM guidelines rather than teaching of EBM),
and two were reviews of primary studies already included in our
review. Of the 23 included studies, four were randomised (level 1)
trials (R Taylor, personal communication), 5, 22, 23 and 19 were non-
randomised studies (level 2), comprising seven non-randomised
controlled studies and 12 before and after comparison studies.

Teaching methods included workshops, seminars, and journal
clubs alone or in various combinations (tables 1 and 2). Eighteen
studies (R Taylor, personal communication), 5, 22-25 including two
randomised trials (R Taylor, personal communication)† (level 1),
evaluated a standalone teaching method (table 1), while five
studies, 22-25 including two randomised trials, 22, 25 evaluated an
integrated teaching method (table 2). Integrated teaching
focused on training in EBM components (such as question
formulation, literature searching, and critical appraisal) in real
time clinical ward rounds or basing the EBM teaching sessions
on encounters with patients on the wards and in clinics. The out-
comes reported were knowledge, skills, attitude, and behaviour.
None of the studies assessed patients’ health.
Do attitudes change?
Six studies assessed change in attitudes, three each in both teaching groups (fig 1). In the standalone teaching group the three studies, including a randomised trial (R Taylor, personal communication), did not find a change in attitudes. In the integrated teaching group, however, all studies, including one randomised trial, found an improvement in attitudes. Therefore there is compelling evidence that teaching integrated into clinical practice changes attitudes about the role of EBM or critical literature appraisal in medicine, while a standalone approach does not.

Does behaviour change?
Fourteen studies assessed the outcome of behavioural change after EBM or critical appraisal teaching, including four randomised trials, two in each teaching group (fig 1). The two randomised trials (R Taylor, personal communication) in the standalone group found no change in behaviour, and both randomised trials in the integrated teaching group observed a change in behaviour. These findings from the randomised evidence were found to be consistent with the findings of the non-randomised studies, with four of seven studies in the standalone group not showing a change in behaviour and all three non-randomised studies in the integrated teaching group showing benefit. The improvements noted in behaviour included changes in reading habits and choice of information resources, as well as substantial outcomes such as changes in management of patients.

Discussion
To our knowledge, a comparison of the effects of standalone versus integrated teaching in critical appraisal skills and EBM has not been done before. In addition to not making the distinction between standalone and integrated courses, several existing reviews have generally considered undergraduates and postgraduates together. There is empirical evidence, however, that the outcomes of teaching EBM markedly differ between undergraduates and postgraduates, with smaller gains in knowledge among the postgraduates. Moreover, adult learning theory suggests that the determinants of learning in the two groups are different, with postgraduate learning tending to be driven by self motivation and relevance to clinical practice, whereas undergraduate learning is generally driven by external factors such as

![Fig 1 Changes in knowledge, skills, attitude, and behaviour after critical appraisal skills or EBM teaching, grouped by quality of studies. Data presented as 100% stacked bar chart with numbers inside bars indicating number of studies that provided information for a particular outcome (see tables 1 and 2 for details of each study).](image)
This suggests that effectiveness of educational interventions in teaching critical appraisal skills and EBM should be evaluated separately for postgraduate and continuing education, which we have done.

Studies examining the effectiveness of educational interventions may suffer from various weaknesses. Even a randomised controlled study, which is generally regarded as the optimum method for settling questions of effectiveness, is not immune to many of these weaknesses. These weaknesses include difficulty with standardising the educational intervention(s), contamination between the two arms of a study, inability to blind the study participants and the teachers from the educational intervention(s) leading to selective cointervention, and finally difficulty with measuring outcomes due to the lack of valid and reliable assessment tools. Some of these factors make randomised trials unfeasible in educational settings, thus necessitating other designs such as non-randomised and before and after studies. We included all three designs in our review.

We have shown that while standalone teaching and integrated teaching are both effective in improving the knowledge base, it is clinically integrated teaching of EBM that is likely to bring about changes in skills, attitudes, and behaviour (fig 2). Changes in attitudes are likely to be important in bringing about sustained changes in behaviour, which may ultimately benefit care of patients. It is therefore important that teachers of critical literature appraisal and EBM consciously find ways of integrating and incorporating teaching of critical appraisal into routine clinical practice. Where resources and facilities are available, such teaching can form part of a “real time” ward round with the dual purposes of teaching EBM skills and attempting to improve care with best available evidence. If the provisions for real time teaching are not available, then even traditional teaching settings, such as a journal club, can be adapted to be based on real and current clinical problems, thus illustrating that the process is not merely an academic exercise but that it informs care.

The purpose of EBM is to integrate best research evidence with clinical skills and patients’ values and preferences. Teaching EBM should not only equip practitioners with knowledge and skills but also foster their attitudes and encourage the practice of EBM. This is because the ultimate aim of improving care could not be achieved with changes in knowledge and skills alone—it would also require changes in attitudes and behaviour. Critical appraisal and EBM teaching that is integrated into clinical practice seems more effective in improving such substantial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information need</th>
<th>Reinforcement of learning</th>
<th>Teaching and learning</th>
<th>Use of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learner receives topic from course organisers</td>
<td>In the absence of a clinical context, acquired knowledge, if retained, is seldom applied</td>
<td>Knowledge and skills taught in hypothetical case scenarios</td>
<td>Information is quickly forgotten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of clinical context</td>
<td>There is no active strategy to remove barriers at workplace</td>
<td>Practicalities of practising EBM cannot be grasped</td>
<td>It cannot be easily retrieved when required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary points

**Critical literature appraisal and evidence based medicine (EBM)** can be taught through standalone courses or through instructional methods that incorporate teaching into routine clinical care

Several randomised and non-randomised studies have evaluated the effects of teaching EBM to postgraduates

Both standalone courses and integrated teaching improve knowledge

Improvements in skills, attitudes, and behaviour, however, come about when teaching is integrated into clinical practice; standalone courses bring about no change

It is important to incorporate EBM teaching into clinical practice, but this would require a sustained effort well beyond standalone instruction

---

**Fig 2 Reasons why integrated teaching may achieve better outcomes than standalone teaching**

---

Curriculum and examinations. This suggests that effectiveness of educational interventions in teaching critical appraisal skills and EBM should be evaluated separately for postgraduate and continuing education, which we have done.

Studies examining the effectiveness of educational interventions may suffer from various weaknesses. Even a randomised controlled study, which is generally regarded as the optimum method for settling questions of effectiveness, is not immune to many of these weaknesses. These weaknesses include difficulty with standardising the educational intervention(s), contamination between the two arms of a study, inability to blind the study participants and the teachers from the educational intervention(s) leading to selective cointervention, and finally difficulty with measuring outcomes due to the lack of valid and reliable assessment tools. Some of these factors make randomised trials unfeasible in educational settings, thus necessitating other designs such as non-randomised controlled and before and after studies. We included all three designs in our review.

We have shown that while standalone teaching and integrated teaching are both effective in improving the knowledge base, it is clinically integrated teaching of EBM that is likely to bring about changes in skills, attitudes, and behaviour (fig 2). Changes in attitudes are likely to be important in bringing about sustained changes in behaviour, which may ultimately benefit care of patients. It is therefore important that teachers of critical literature appraisal and EBM consciously find ways of integrating and incorporating teaching of critical appraisal into routine clinical practice. Where resources and facilities are available, such teaching can form part of a “real time” ward round with the dual purposes of teaching EBM skills and attempting to improve care with best available evidence. If the provisions for real time teaching are not available, then even traditional teaching settings, such as a journal club, can be adapted to be based on real and current clinical problems, thus illustrating that the process is not merely an academic exercise but that it informs care.

The purpose of EBM is to integrate best research evidence with clinical skills and patients’ values and preferences. Teaching EBM should not only equip practitioners with knowledge and skills but also foster their attitudes and encourage the practice of EBM. This is because the ultimate aim of improving care could not be achieved with changes in knowledge and skills alone—it would also require changes in attitudes and behaviour. Critical appraisal and EBM teaching that is integrated into clinical practice seems more effective in improving such substantial
outcomes including behavioural changes. Teachers of critical appraisal and EBM should aim to bring teaching out of classrooms into the clinic, but this will require a greater effort. Future studies should focus not only on substantial outcomes such as behaviour and health outcomes but also on longer term outcomes as there is the potential for decay of learning outcomes over time.
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